This article was downloaded by: On: *18 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Garten Jr., C. T.(1992) 'Nitrogen Isotope Composition of Ammonium and Nitrate in Bulk Precipitation and Forest Throughfall', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 47: 1, 33 – 45 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/03067319208027017 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067319208027017

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

NITROGEN ISOTOPE COMPOSITION OF AMMONIUM AND NITRATE IN BULK PRECIPITATION AND FOREST THROUGHFALL

C. T. GARTEN, Jr.

Environmental Sciences Division, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6038, U.S.A.

(Received, 2 May 1991; in final form, 23 July 1991)

The stable nitrogen isotope composition of ammonium (NH⁴₄) and nitrate (NO³₃) ions in bulk precipitation and forest throughfall was determined at Walker Branch watershed, a low elevation site (340 m) in northeastern Tennessee, and in cloud water from Whitetop Mountain, a high elevation site (1680 m) in southwestern Virginia. Tests of the method used indicated that sample processing did not introduce significant isotopic fractionation in measurements of nitrogen isotope composition. Mean δ^{15} N values for NH⁴₄ and NO³₃ in solutions of artificial rain were within 0.3‰ of the mean δ^{15} N values of nitrogen compounds used to make the solutions. Over a one-year period on Walker Branch watershed, the measured mean (±SD) δ^{15} N value for NH⁴₄ in bulk precipitation and throughfall ($-3.4 \pm 2.1\%$) was significantly less than that for NO³₃(+2.3 ± 2.4‰). The same relative pattern was observed in cloud water from Whitetop Mountain: mean (±SD) δ^{15} N in cloud water NH⁴₄ was $-5.5 \pm 3.2\%$; i.e., isotopically lighter than NO³₃(+1.4 ± 4.8‰). The isotopic composition of NH⁴₄ in bulk precipitation and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed and in cloud water at Whitetop Mountain was consistent with origination through the washout of atmospheric NH₃. However, the origins of NO³₃ (gaseous versus aerosol precursors) could not be clearly established from δ^{15} N measurements.

KEY WORDS: Stable nitrogen isotopes, nitrogen-15, bulk precipitation, throughfall, cloud water, ion exchange.

INTRODUCTION

High rates of atmospheric deposition of nitrogen (N) currently occur over large regions of Europe and the United States as a result of increased anthropogenic sources¹. While atmospheric deposition of N is recognized as an important component of acidic deposition, numerous questions remain about its role in forest ecosystems, including the processes of its interactions with the forest canopy and its fate in forest N cycles. Heaton² has recently reviewed how studies of stable N isotope ratios (¹⁵N/¹⁴N) in the hydrosphere and the atmosphere might be used to investigate sources and ecosystem processing of atmospheric N deposition. However, there are few studies of stable N isotope ratios in precipitation and N compounds in the atmosphere³⁻⁶.

Hoering³ first described the use of ion exchange for the quantitative separation of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ions from rain for stable N isotope analysis. Moore⁴ also later successfully used ion exchange for this same purpose. Such isotopic data are potentially useful for the identification of precursors to inorganic N in precipitation

Downloaded At: 15:41 18 January 2011

and for tracing the fate of pollutant N inputs to forest ecosystems. In the present work, Hoering's method³ has been modified and tested to examine differences in the stable N isotope composition of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in bulk precipitation and forest throughfall at Walker Branch watershed, a low elevation site (340 m) near Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and the isotopic composition of inorganic N in cloud water from Whitetop Mountain, a high elevation site (1680 m) in southwestern Virginia. Modifications to Hoering's methods were made to minimize the generation of hazardous wastes in the laboratory.

METHODS

Sample collections

Collectors were placed in a ridgetop clearing (Rain Gauge #2) on Walker Branch watershed adjacent to a precipitation chemistry collection site that is part of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/National Trends Network (NADP/ NTN) and beneath deciduous (mixed hardwood) and coniferous (loblolly pine) canopies within 400 m of the NADP/NTN station. Bulk precipitation (ie., rainfall collected in a sampler that was continuously exposed to the atmosphere) and throughfall (ie., precipitation collected beneath the forest canopy) was sampled over a period of one year. The rate of sample collection was varied by using one or two polyethylene funnels to direct wet deposition into ion exchange columns. Each funnel (0.03 m²) was covered with an 8 mm mesh polyethylene screen to exclude falling leaves or other large debris. Water exiting each funnel passed a washed glass wool plug to remove fine debris before entering the ion exchangers. During warm weather, the exchange columns were set up in a reverse flow arrangement which kept the exchange resins from drying out. During cold weather, the columns were set up in a direct flow arrangement which allowed excess water to drain from the columns and helped to prevent freezing (Figure 1). Effluent from the exchange columns drained into a vented 71 plastic carboy. Samples were taken from carboys to determine the efficiency of removal of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- from bulk precipitation and throughfall by ion exchange.

Collectors were checked weekly; glass wool plugs were changed and any debris was removed from the funnels. Ion exchange columns were changed when effluent volumes were between 4 and 7 l. Fluxes of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in bulk precipitation and throughfall were calculated based on measured volumes, the amount of NH_4^+ or NO_3^- resident on the exchange columns, and the area of the funnel(s). When the sample collection periods were of comparable duration, total NH_4^+ and NO_3^- fluxes (mg m⁻²) derived from the ion exchange columns for 9 sampling periods between September 5, 1989, and August 14, 1990, were compared with fluxes of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in wet deposition reported by NADP/NTN for Walker Branch watershed. Collection periods ranged from 1 to 6 weeks, depending on the frequency and intensity of rainfall.

Samples of cloud water from Whitetop Mountain, Virginia, were provided for analysis by Dev Joslin (Tennessee Valley Authority). Methods of cloud water



Figure 1 Arrangement of ion exchange columns during summer and winter collection periods.

collection and preservation are described by Joslin *et al.*⁷ The samples were frozen prior to isolation of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- by ion exchange methods in the laboratory and subsequent analysis of stable nitrogen isotope composition.

Preparation of ion exchangers

Ion exchange resins (≈ 2.5 g fresh weight) were loaded into tapered plastic columns (15 mm diameter \times 75 mm long) that contained glass wool plugs (previously washed with deionized water) at the bottom and top of the resin column. The cation exchanger (Dowex[®] 50W-X8, 50 to 100 mesh, $\approx 54\%$ moisture by weight) for collecting NH₄⁺ was prepared for use in the H⁺ form and was placed before the anion exchange column (Dowex[®] 1-X8, 50 to 100 mesh, $\approx 45\%$ moisture by weight) that was converted from a Cl⁻ to the OH⁻ form prior to use. The Dowex[®] 50W-X8

resin is a strongly acidic cation exchanger with sulfonic acid groups attached to a styrene and divinylbenzene copolymer, and does not include N as part of its chemical structure. Dowex[®] 1-X8 is a strongly basic anion exchange resin with quaternary ammonium groups attached to a styrene and divinylbenzene copolymer.

The amount of resin needed in each column to adsorb NH_4^+ or NO_3^- from bulk precipitation or throughfall was based on the total nominal exchange capacity (5.1 meq g⁻¹ for the cation exchanger and 3.2 meq g⁻¹ for the anion exchanger) and the expected total cation or anion content of rain or throughfall. The weighted average annual concentration of total cations in bulk precipitation (97 μ eq 1⁻¹) and throughfall (203 μ eq 1⁻¹) and that for total anions in bulk precipitation (81 μ eq 1⁻¹) and throughfall (220 μ eq 1⁻¹) was previously reported from studies on Walker Branch Watershed⁸. In practice, a sample of 4 to 7 liters provided a sufficient amount of N (>10 μ mol) for analysis of nitrogen isotope ratios without saturating resin exchange sites.

Recovery and isotope analysis

Isolation and recovery of NH_4 -N and NO_3 -N from bulk precipitation or throughfall for isotopic analysis involved four steps: (1) elution of N from the ion exchanger after collection from the field, (2) steam distillation to separate N from the eluate and trap NH_4 -N in a 2% boric acid solution, (3) sorption of NH_4^+ from the boric acid trapping solution onto a small amount of cation exchanger, and (4) conversion to N₂ gas (by combustion methods) for isotopic analysis in a mass spectrometer.

Ammonium-N In the laboratory, NH_4^+ was eluted from the cation exchanger with 40 ml of saturated K_2SO_4 . Immediately after the addition of MgO (200 mg), the eluate was steam distilled in a Kjeldahl distillation unit and NH_4^+ was trapped in 10 ml of a 2% boric acid solution^{9.10}. After distillation, a small amount of cation exchanger (50 mg fresh weight) was added to the boric acid distillate to adsorb NH_4^+ in solution. After mixing for 3 hours on a rotary shaker, the resin was recovered, washed with 5 ml deionized water, dried at 70°C, and stored in a tightly capped glass vial. Subsamples of the eluate, and distillate before and after shaking with the cation exchanger were analyzed for NH_4^+ by automated phenate colorimetry¹¹ to test recoveries at each step of the analysis.

Nitrate-N Nitrate was eluted from the anion exchanger with 40 ml of 2 M KCl followed by 10 ml of deionized water. The method for isolation of NO_3^- was identical to that described above for NH_4^+ except that finely ground Devarda's alloy (200 mg) plus MgO (200 mg) was added to the eluate immediately prior to steam distillation to reduce NO_3^- to NH_4^+ . Subsamples of the eluate were analyzed for nitrate (+ nitrite) by Cd-Cu reduction followed by automated colorimetry¹¹. Subsamples of the distillate before and after shaking with 50 mg fresh weight of cation exchanger were analyzed for NH_4^+ by automated phenate colorimetry¹¹.

Isotopic analysis Following sorption of NH₄⁺ from the 2% boric acid solution onto the cation exchanger, an aliquot of the cation exchanger was combusted to yield N_2 gas for analysis by mass spectrometry. The combustion method, which is described elsewhere¹², involved mixing a 10 to 12 mg (dry weight) portion of the cation exchanger with 1 gram of cupric oxide powder and overlaying the mixture in a VYCOR^{*} brand glass tube with 1 gram of copper metal granules. The tube was evacuated to <1 mTorr and sealed. The sealed combustion tube was then heated to 850°C (for 3 hours) in a programmable furnace and allowed to cool for 18 h. The combustion gases were released by breaking the tube in a vacuum manifold (<1 mTorr), and N₂ was isolated onto 5Å molecular sieve (Alltech Associates, Applied Science Labs, Deerfield, Illinois) cooled by liquid nitrogen after trapping other combustion products. Gas samples were analyzed for ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios (R) using a VG Isotech SIRA Series II dual inlet isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Results were expressed in units of per mil (∞) following the classical delta notation (δ) where, $\delta^{15}N = (R_{sample}/R_{reference} - 1) \times 1000$. External precision of the $\delta^{15}N$ measurements was approximately $\pm 0.2\%$. The reference gas, which came from a compressed N₂ cylinder, was calibrated relative to tropospheric N₂ (0.0‰). Analysis of ammonium sulfate standards N-1 and N-2 provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, gave mean (\pm SD) $\delta^{15}N_{air}$ values of $+0.5 \pm 0.2\%$ (n = 4) and $+20.5 \pm 0.2\%$ (n = 4), respectively.

Quality assurance tests

The sorption efficiency of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- from solution by ion exchange and the efficiency of elution from the columns using saturated K_2SO_4 or 2 M KCl, respectively, was evaluated by passing freshly collected rain, throughfall, or standard solutions containing known amounts of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- through the exchange columns. Each solution was analyzed for NH_4^+ and NO_3^- prior to ion exchange to determine the total loading of these ions onto the columns. The eluate from the cation exchanger was analyzed for NH_4^+ and NO_3^- by ion exchanger was analyzed for NH_4^+ and NO_3^- by ion exchange from the test solutions was determined based on eluate volume and concentration data, and known inputs to the columns.

Two artificial rain solutions were prepared to test for fractionation effects during the separation, recovery, and preparation of NH₄-N and NO₃-N by ion exchange methods. Solution A (pH 4.1) contained the following μ mol l⁻¹ concentrations of ions: Mg²⁺, 15; Ca²⁺, 64; Na⁺, 68; NH₄⁺, 132; K⁺, 153; PO₄⁻, 0.6; NO₃⁻, 59; Cl⁻, 98; SO₄²⁻, 219. Nitrate and ammonium were supplied to solution A by KNO₃ and (NH₄)₂SO₄ salts, respectively. Solution B (pH 4.1) contained the following μ mol/l⁻¹ concentrations of ions: Mg²⁺, 54; Ca²⁺, 64; Na⁺, 34; NH₄⁺, 128; K⁺, 93; PO₄⁻, 0.6; NO₃⁻, 55; Cl⁻, 161; SO₄²⁻, 152. Nitrate and ammonium were supplied to solution B by Mg(NO₃)₂ and NH₄Cl salts, respectively. Nitrogen-15 abundance in the compounds used to make the artificial rain solutions was determined by mass spectrometry.

Recovery of NH⁺ from solution was tested by shaking the cation exchanger (50 mg

C. T. GARTEN, JR.

fresh weight) with solutions (water or 2% boric acid) containing varying amounts of NH_4^+ ion (NH_4Cl form). Solutions were analyzed before and after shaking with the ion exchanger to determine percent recovery. The NH_4Cl used to make the standard solution was analyzed to determine its isotopic composition. The NH_4^+ sorbed from each of the solutions onto the ion exchanger was analyzed for its isotopic composition to test for fractionation effects during ion exchange as a function of percent removal of NH_4^+ from solution.

RESULTS

Efficiency of field collectors

Analysis of the effluent from ion exchange columns placed in the field revealed that the ion exchangers had a high efficiency for recovery of both NH_4^+ and NO_3^- from bulk precipitation and throughfall. During a six week sampling period (3 October 1989 to 16 November 1989), the input of NH_4 -N to columns located at rain gauge #2, beneath the deciduous canopy, and beneath the pine canopy was 1420, 1080, and



Figure 2 Correlation between NH_4^+ or NO_3^- fluxes in bulk precipitation, as estimated from collections using ion exchange columns, and the respective fluxes in wet deposition only, as measured at the NADP/NTN sampling station on Walker Branch watershed. A reference line of 1:1 correspondence is shown.

39

1050 μ g, respectively. Based on NH₄⁺ concentrations in the column effluent and effluent volume (7.7 to 9.5 l), less than 5% of the NH₄⁺ entering the columns passed the cation exchanger. A similar analysis for NO₃⁻ showed that the calculated input of NO₃-N to the columns at the rain gauge, beneath the deciduous, and pine canopies was 1580, 2150, and 3270 μ g, respectively. In each case, less than 35 μ g NO₃-N was found in the column effluent, therefore the anion exchange columns were more than 97% efficient at retaining NO₃-N entering the columns.

Ammonium and NO₃⁻ fluxes in bulk precipitation samples as estimated from the ion exchange columns were highly correlated with fluxes of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ in wet deposition reported for the NADP/NTN station on Walker Branch watershed (Figure 2). A paired t-test indicated that NH₄⁺ fluxes as estimated from the ion exchange columns were approximately 25% higher than those estimated for wet deposition only from the NADP/NTN samplers (t = -2.30, df = 8; P < 0.05). For the sampling periods that were compared, the total NH₄⁺ flux in wet deposition (NADP/NTN sampler) and that in bulk precipitation (collected by ion exchange) was 248 and 307 mg m⁻², respectively. A similar test indicated that NO₃⁻ fluxes estimated by ion exchange and NADP/NTN sampling methods were not significantly different (t = 0.59, df = 8). For the sampling periods that were compared, the total NO₃⁻ flux in wet deposition only and that in bulk precipitation was 1026 and 985 mg m⁻², respectively.

Efficiency of recovery through elution, steam distillation, and sorption

Elution Analysis of samples prior to ion exchange and analysis of eluates from the ion exchange columns confirmed observations from field trials that this method had a high efficiency for removal of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- from bulk precipitation and throughfall. Table 1 shows the recovery of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- from rain, throughfall, and standard solutions that were passed through ion exchange columns in the laboratory. Recovery of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- by ion exchange through the elution step was $\approx 100\%$.

Table 1 Recovery of known amounts of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ions from solutions passed through ion exchange columns, followed by elution with saturated K_2SO_4 or 2 M KCl, respectively. CV is the coefficient of variation and n is the number of trials.

Recovery from	Recovery of NH ₄ ⁺			Recovery of NO_3^-		
	n	Mean %	CV	n	Mean %	cv
Rainfall	5	111	0.05	7	100	0.10
Throughfall	4	97	0.16	6	99	0.10
Standard Solution*	4	105	0.07	4	95	0.11

* Deionized water with a known addition of NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻.

Steam distillation Mean (\pm SD) recovery of NH₄⁺ from saturated K₂SO₄ by steam distillation, as determined by analysis of 37 eluates was 90 \pm 9%. Mean (\pm SD) recovery of NO₃⁻ from 2 M KCl by steam distillation following reduction to NH₄⁺ with Devarda's alloy, determined by analysis of 34 eluates, was 116 \pm 15%.

Sorption from the distillate Shaking the distillate (boric acid trapping solution) with 50 mg of cation exchanger quantitatively removed NH_4^+ ions from solution. Analysis of 38 trapping solutions before and after shaking with the cation exchanger showed an average 97% (S.D. = 5%) recovery of NH_4^+ from solution onto the cation exchange resin.

Isotopic fractionation effects during ion exchange

Values for the nitrogen isotope composition of NH_4^+ partially exchanged for H^+ on the cation exchanger were in good agreement with the isotopic composition of the original NH_4 -N added to solution $(+0.4 \pm 0.3)_{00}$, n = 4). The $\delta^{15}N$ value of NH_4 -N when 35 and 68% of the NH_4^+ was adsorbed by the ion exchanger from 2% boric acid solution was +0.3 and $+0.2)_{00}$, respectively. The $\delta^{15}N$ value of NH_4 -N when 27, 39, 69, and 70% of the NH_4^+ was adsorbed by the ion exchanger from aqueous solution was +0.1, +0.1, +0.2, and $+0.1)_{00}$, respectively. No significant fractionation effects were introduced by the partial sorption of NH_4^+ from water or 2% boric acid solutions by the cation exchanger. The variation observed was within the analytical error of the method.

Measurements of ¹⁵N-abundance in artificial rain solutions

Significant isotopic fractionation of NH₄-N and NO₃-N by the methods described here was also not apparent in determinations of the isotopic composition of NH₄-N and NO₃-N in artificial rain solutions. The mean δ^{15} N values for stock N compounds, which were measured directly, and the means based on the analysis of the solutions

Table 2 Comparison of δ^{15} N values in stock N compounds with δ^{15} N values in artificial rain solutions made from the stock N compounds. Nitrate and ammonium were separated and isolated from artificial rain solutions for isotopic analysis by ion exchange, steam distillation, and sorption onto a cation exchanger (see Methods section)

Stock	$\delta^{15}N$	in compound		$\delta^{15}N$ i	n artificial rain	in
	n	Mean	SE	n	Mean	SE
Solution A						
KNO ₁	3	+ 1.2%	+ 0.1	4	+ 0.9%	+0.2
(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	4	-0.3%	+0.2	4	-0.1%	+0.1
Solution B			-			
$Mg(NO_3)_2$	3	+ 6.5%	±0.3	4	+ 6.2%	± 0.3
NH₄CI ″	4	+ 0.4‰	± 0.2	4	+ 0.6‰	± 0.2

made from those compounds are shown in Table 2. Differences between the $\delta^{15}N$ value in the compound and the $\delta^{15}N$ value in artificial rain reflected the fractionation associated with the entire method of analysis (including capture of NH₄-N and NO₃-N from artificial rain solutions onto the ion exchange columns, elution from



Figure 3 Measurements of δ^{15} N for NH₄-N and NO₃-N in bulk precipitation (above) and in throughfall collected beneath pine and deciduous forest stands (below) on Walker Branch watershed. Some NO₃-N samples were lost due to technical difficulties with steam distillation. Bud break on deciduous trees occurs in early April and autumn leaf fall occurs in early November.

the columns, steam distillation, recovery of NH_4^+ from the distillate onto the cation exchanger, and combustion to convert NH_4^+ to N_2 gas). Mean $\delta^{15}N$ values for NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in artificial rain were within 0.3‰ of the mean $\delta^{15}N$ in stock N compounds used to make the solutions (Table 2).

Measurements of ¹⁵N-abundance in bulk precipitation and throughfall

Figure 3 shows measurements of $\delta^{15}N$ in NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ in bulk precipitation and throughfall beneath forest stands on Walker Branch watershed for different sampling periods over one-year. Nitrate-N was generally enriched in ¹⁵N relative to NH_4 -N in both bulk precipitation and forest throughfall. A one-way analysis of variance indicated a statistically significant difference in $\delta^{15}N$ values between the following six groups: (1) NH_4^+ in bulk precipitation, (2) NO_3^- in bulk precipitation, (3) NH_4^+ in pine throughfall, (4) NO_3^- in pine throughfall, (5) NH_4^+ in deciduous throughfall, and (6) NO_3^- in deciduous throughfall (F = 21.11, df = 5, 53; P < 0.01). Multiple comparisons between group means, made using Tukey's honest significant difference, indicated that the $\delta^{15}N$ of $NO_3^-(+1.5)$ in bulk precipitation was not statistically different from that of throughfall NO_3^- beneath pine (+3.7_{\nu0}) and deciduous (+1.9‰) stands. Similarly, the $\delta^{15}N$ of NH₄⁺ (-2.7‰) in bulk precipitation was not statistically different from that of throughfall NH⁺₄ beneath pine (-3.5%) and deciduous (-4.1%) stands. However, in bulk precipitation and both types of throughfall samples, NO₃⁻ always had significantly higher δ^{15} N values than those for NH₄⁺. The frequency distributions for δ^{15} N in NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in combined bulk precipitation and throughfall are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4 Frequency distribution of $\delta^{15}N$ for NH₄-N and NO₃-N in combined collections of bulk precipitation and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed.

Sampling period	NH ⁺		NO ₃	
	µg N ml ^{−1}	$\delta^{15}N$	$\mu g N m l^{-1}$	$\delta^{15}N$
17 July-28 July, 1987	5.3	- 3.4%	2.5	- 3.5%
5 August 1987	4.5	- 3.5%	5.5	+ 7.4%
11 August-13 August, 1987	3.7	- 5.1%	3.9	- 1.5%
4 May-6 May, 1988	2.0	-10.1‰	2.3	+ 3.1‰

Table 3 Cloud water sampling period, concentration of NH_4^+ or NO_3^- , and $\delta^{15}N$ in cloud water collected at the summit of Whitetop Mountain, Virginia

Measurement of ¹⁵N-abundance in high elevation cloud water

Although, there was considerable variation between samples (Table 3), relative trends in the $\delta^{15}N$ of cloud water NH_4^+ and NO_3^- from Whitetop Mountain were similar to those observed for NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in bulk precipitation on Walker Branch watershed. Mean (\pm SD) $\delta^{15}N$ in cloud water NH_4^+ was $-5.5 \pm 3.2\%$; i.e., isotopically depleted in ¹⁵N relative to the mean $\delta^{15}N$ in cloud water NO_3^- ($+1.4 \pm 4.8\%$). Concentrations of NH_4 -N and NO_3 -N in cloud water from each sampling period ranged between 2 and 5.5 µg N ml⁻¹ cloud water (Table 3). These concentrations are considerably higher than average concentrations for NH_4 -N and NO_3 -N in rainfall on Walker Branch watershed (0.16 µg N ml⁻¹ and 0.29 µg N ml⁻¹, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In his study of stable N isotopes in rainfall, Hoering³ originally used a saturated barium chloride solution to elute NH_4^+ ions from a cation exchanger. Under some circumstances, laboratory wastes containing barium compounds may now be classified as "hazardous" because barium has toxic properties. As a step toward waste minimization in this work, potassium sulfate (a less hazardous compound to health) was substituted for barium chloride to elute NH_4^+ ions from the cation exchanger. This substitution was made without any apparent adverse effect on the method.

In most cases NH₄-N in bulk precipitation and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed and in cloud water from Whitetop Mountain, Virginia, contained less ¹⁵N (relative to ¹⁴N) than did NO₃-N. Freyer⁵ reported the same trend for rainfall in the vicinity of Julich, Germany, where, during 2 years of sampling, rainfall NO₃⁻ ($\delta^{15}N = -3.1_{\infty}^{\circ}$) was consistently enriched in ¹⁵N relative to rainfall NH₄⁺ ($\delta^{15}N = -12.1_{\infty}^{\circ}$). Freyer attributed the different isotopic composition of NH₄-N and NO₃-N in rain to different gaseous precursors in the atmosphere: NH₄⁺ originating from the washout of atmospheric nitric oxides (NO and NO₂). The equilibrium exchange reactions between NO and NO₃⁻ or NO₂ and NO₃⁻ are both accompanied by large isotopic fractionations¹³ (≈ 50 to 100‰) that result in the isotopic enrichment of the product, NO₃⁻. However, different patterns have been reported by other

authors. Heaton⁶ observed a similarity in the isotopic composition of rainfall NH₄-N $(\delta^{15}N = -3.8\%)$ and NO₃-N $(\delta^{15}N = -3.5\%)$ over a one-year period of collections in Pretoria, South Africa. Heaton concluded that the isotope data from Pretoria were consistent with the formation of these ions in rain from gaseous atmospheric precursors (NH₃ and NO_x, respectively) assuming little overall isotopic fractionation. Moore⁴ reported an opposite pattern for NH₄-N ($\delta^{15}N = -1.4\%$) and NO₃-N ($\delta^{15}N = -6.6\%$) in rain collected near Boulder, Colorado. Differences between these studies may be caused by differences in the isotopic composition of gaseous precursors, or different mechanisms (kinetic versus equilibrium exchange) by which gaseous precursors are dissolved in precipitation, or methodological artifacts.

The isotopic composition of NH₄-N in bulk precipitation and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed is more consistent with the washout of NH₃ from the atmosphere than the solution of aerosol and particulate NH₄⁺ by precipitation. Ammonium in dry deposition (aerosols and particulates) tends to be isotopically enriched in ¹⁵N relative to atmospheric nitrogen^{2,4}. Ammonium-N in bulk precipitation and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed was depleted in ¹⁵N relative to atmospheric N₂ and was similar isotopically to values previously reported for NH₄-N in rain from Colorado⁴. Hoering³ measured δ^{15} N values ranging from -0.1 to +9.0‰ for rainfall NH₄⁺ in Arkansas, and concluded that his data were in agreement with the hypothesis that NO₃⁻ in precipitation arose from the oxidation of atmospheric NH₃. In the present study, there was no significant correlation between the isotopic composition of NH₄-N and NO₃-N in bulk precipitation and throughfall which is consistent with independent origins for these two different chemical forms.

The mean $\delta^{15}N$ value for NO₃⁻ in bulk deposition and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed was higher than values from previous studies of stable N isotopes in rainfall². One possible cause may be the importance of dry N deposition at this site¹⁴. The watershed is located within 25 km of two coal-fired power stations. Dry deposition of nitric acid vapor contributes $\approx 50\%$ of the total annual atmospheric flux of NO_3^- to the watershed¹⁴. Nitrogen dioxide deposition may constitute as much as 40% of dry N deposition during the growing season¹⁵. The isotopic composition of NO₃-N in dry deposition (aerosols and particulates) tends to be enriched in ¹⁵N relative to wet deposition^{2,4}. For example, Moore⁴ reported that NO₃-N in dry deposition had a mean δ^{15} N value of +5.0% near Boulder, Colorado. In addition, NO_x from coal-fired power plants, a potential source of NO₃-N in rainfall, is reported to have $\delta^{15}N$ values in the range of +6 to +13¹⁶. The higher $\delta^{15}N$ value for NO_3 -N in bulk precipitation and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed is consistent with the washout of particulate NO_3^- from the atmosphere as well as $NO_3^$ formation from anthropogenic NO_x. Therefore, unlike NH_4^+ in rain, the origins of NO_3^- in bulk deposition and throughfall on Walker Branch watershed (ie. gaseous versus aerosol precursors) are not clear from $\delta^{15}N$ measurements, but the higher δ^{15} N values for NO₃-N are probably related to dry deposition.

Data on the isotopic composition of inorganic N in precipitation and throughfall has potential for tracing the fate of pollutant N inputs to forest ecosystems. For example, on N-deficient ridges and slopes on Walker Branch watershed, the mean $(\pm SD) \delta^{15}N$ value of leaf N in red maple (*Acer rubrum*) trees is $-3.2 \pm 1.2\%$ (n = 36, unpublished data); similar to that of NH_4 -N in bulk precipitation and throughfall and different from that of NO_3 -N (Figure 4). This comparison suggests (but does not prove) that foliar N in *Acer rubrum* is partly derived from NH_4 -N in precipitation inputs to the forest canopy or throughfall inputs to shallow roots in the forest floor. Tracer studies with enriched ¹⁵N under laboratory conditions have shown that NH_4^+ applied to *Acer rubrum* and *Quercus alba* (white oak) leaves in simulated rainfall is preferentially retained relative to NO_3^- .¹⁷ This finding is also consistent with field observations of flux or concentration differences between rain and throughfall that indicate the uptake of ammonium deposition by the forest canopy^{14,17}. Together our studies indicate a potential importance of NH_4 -N inputs to forest N cycling on Walker Branch watershed. Future studies of stable N isotopes in wet and dry deposition may help improve our understanding of forest N cycling and changes in N cycling as a result of increasing or decreasing anthropogenic N emissions to the atmosphere.

Acknowledgements

I wish to extend my appreciation to Tom Stephens, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), for his valuable assistance in the field and laboratory, to Bonnie Lu (ORNL) for solution analyses of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ , to Jim Owens (ORNL) for sample collections and precipitation chemistry data for the NADP/NTN station, and Dev Joslin (TVA) for kindly supplying cloud water samples from Whitetop Mountain. I also thank S. E. Lindberg (ORNL) and L. W. Cooper (University of Tennessee, Knoxville) for their helpful reviews of the draft manuscript. This research was sponsored by the Ecological Research Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, U.S. Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-840R21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. Research was conducted on the Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park. Publication No. 3717, Environmental Sciences Division, ORNL.

References

- 1. J. L. Malanchuk and J. Nilsson, eds. The Role of Nitrogen in the Acidification of Soils and Surface Waters (Nordic Council of Ministers, Solna, Sweden, 1989).
- 2. T. H. E. Heaton, Chemical Geology (Isotope Geoscience Section) 59, 87-102 (1986).
- 3. T. Hoering, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 12, 97-102 (1957).
- 4. H. Moore, Atmospheric Environment 11, 1239-1243 (1977).
- 5. H. D. Freyer, Tellus 30, 83-92 (1978).
- 6. T. H. E. Heaton, Atmospheric Environment 21, 843-852 (1987).
- 7. J. D. Joslin, C. McDuffie, and P. F. Brewer, Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 39, 355-363 (1988).
- 8. D. W. Johnson, D. D. Richter, G. M. Lovett, and S. E. Lindberg, Can. J. For. Res. 15, 773-782 (1985).
- 9. J. M. Bremner, and A. P. Edwards, Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 29, 504-507 (1965).
- 10. J. M. Bremner, and D. R. Keeney, Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 30, 577-582 (1966).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, EPA-600/4-79-020 (350.1-1 to 350.1-6) (Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1983).
- 12. M. Minagawa, D. A. Winter, and I. R. Kaplan, Anal. Chem. 56, 1859-1861 (1984).
- 13. W. Spindel, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 1271-1272 (1954).
- 14. S. E. Lindberg, G. M. Lovett, D. D. Richter, and D. W. Johnson, Science 231, 141-145 (1986).
- P. J. Hanson, K. Rott, G. E. Taylor, Jr., C. A. Gunderson, S. E. Lindberg, and B. M. Ross-Todd, Atmospheric Environment 23, 1783-1794 (1989).
- 16. T. H. E. Heaton, Tellus 42B, 304-307 (1990).
- 17. C. T. Garten, Jr., and P. J. Hanson, Environmental and Experimental Botany 30, 333-342 (1990).